

Current Research in Nutrition and Food Science

www.foodandnutritionjournal.org

Significance of Health Related Predictors of Diabetes in Pima Indians Women

ILHAM A BASHIR,^{1*} ABDALBASIT ADAM MARIOD,^{2,3*} RASITHA BANU⁴ and TAHANI BABIKER ELYAS⁴

 ¹Faculty of Public and Tropical Medicine, Jazan University, Saudi Arabia.
²Indeginous Knowledge and Heritage Centre, Ghibaish College of Science & Technology, Ghibaish, Sudan.
³Department of Biology, College of Science and Arts, University of Jeddah, Alkamil, Saudi Arabia.
⁴ Faculty of Public and Tropical Medicine, Jazan University, Saudi Arabia.

Abstract

This study was carried out to investigate the significance of health-related predictors of diabetes in Pima Indians Women. The study population was the females 21 years and above of Pima Indian heritage patients of diabetes and digestive and kidney diseases. The research question was: what are the health predictions that associated with the presence of diabetes in Pima Indians? The study aimed at testing the significance of health related predictors of diabetes in Pima Indians women. That was according to World Health Organization criteria (i.e. if the 2-hour post-load plasma glucose was at least 200 mg/dl at any survey examination or if found during routine medical care). A total of 768 women were registered in the database. (268:35%) were having diabetes, while (500:65 %) were not. The average number of pregnancies was higher (4.9) in diabetic in comparing to (3.3) in non-diabetic women with a significant difference (P <0.05) between them. Significant (P<0.05) positive correlation (41.7) was found between plasma level and occurrence of diabetes and that patients with a normal range of plasma level were at higher risk of being diabetic. OR = 2.479, [95% CI = 1.999, 3.073], P=0.00. The Body Mass Index (BMI) showed a significant association (P<0.05) with the occurrence of diabetes and that even the normal weighted women were at almost 8 times risk of being diabetic in comparison to the overweight, OR = 7.876, [95% CI= 3.557, 17.439]; P=0.00. Significant inverse relation (P<0.05) was seen between the age distribution and diabetic occurrence. Women at age group



Article History

Received: 17 March 2019 Accepted: 28 May 2019

Keywords

Body Mass Index (BMI); Obesity; Pima Indians; Plasma Level; Type II Diabetes.

CONTACT IIham A Bashir iIhambashier@gmail.com Q Jazan University – Faculty of Public and Tropical Medicine – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.



© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Enviro Research Publishers.

This is an **3** Open Access article licensed under a Creative Commons license: Attribution 4.0 International (CC-BY). Doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.12944/CRNFSJ.7.2.05

< 25 years were at higher risk to contract diabetes in comparison to the older age group. OR = 6.616, [CI= 4.145, 10.561], P=0.00. The study concluded that the genetic component is likely to contribute more to the emergence of diabetes in the Pima Indians and their offspring. The study recommended that there should be more preventive input and periodic follow-up especially during pregnancy in this ethnic group to reduce the risk and complications of type II diabetes. Besides, provision of intervention for weight reduction.

Introduction

Diabetes is a group of metabolic diseases that have high levels of sugar in the blood over a long period of time, so it is known as a chronic illness.1 Glucose enters the body through the intestines treated by the patient, and here comes the role of insulin which is a hormone that helps glucose to enter the cells to give energy. In the case of type II, the most common, the body may also not be able to produce insulin or may be able to produce enough or the body may not be able to use the amount produced from it, thus remains glucose in the blood. There is also a rise in glucose in pre-diabetes. This means that blood sugar is above normal but not too high to name diabetes. Prevalence of glycoside increases the risk of type 2 diabetes. Gestational diabetes develops during pregnancy (gestation). Like other types of diabetes, gestational diabetes affects how the cells use sugar (glucose). Gestational diabetes causes high blood sugar that can affect the pregnancy and the baby's health.² Results indicate that the highest prevalence of diabetes is found in high rates in Indian Pima, and this is shown by comparing natural groups with hyperglycemic on the basis of the relative distribution of glucose levels after pregnancy for two hours.³ Pregnant women are more susceptible to this type. An A1C test is a blood test that reflects the average blood glucose levels over the past 3 months.⁴ The A1C test is sometimes called the hemoglobin A1C; Hemoglobin is the part of a red blood cell that carries oxygen to the cells.5 Blood glucose levels should be monitored if the drug is prescribed. Diabetes is quite prevalent in a group of Native Americans living in Arizona (US) and Sonora (Mexico). The aim of this study is to study the cause of diabetes in this ethnic group. Diabetes was uncommon in Pima Indians until the second half of the 20th century. As King et al. report. In 1993, the highest prevalence of type 2 diabetes (NIDDM) in the world was found in Pima Indians living in Arizona.⁶ In 1990, Knowler et al. reported that more than half of the 35-year-olds suffer from this disease.⁷ This phenomenon has been

studied for more than 30 years by Scientists from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) studied. Most of the results indicate that both acquired (environmental) and family (genetic) factors play a critical role in causing type 2 diabetes (NIDDM) in this population.8 Knowler WC, Saad MF et al. reported that the disease complications in this group are disruptive or fatal and will benefit from continued research on causing disease and preventing disease.9 One of the aims of this study that was conducted in the year 1998 was to measure the appearance in the subsequent generation of mothers with pregnant diabetes. A 75 mg oral glucose tolerance test was administered to women during each pregnancy. Their children since the age of five years were followed every two years with a standardized examination includes measurement of height and weight and test the measurement of glucose oral 75 grams standard taken in the morning after the fast overnight. Having diabetes while being pregnant, is the main risk issue for the onset of diabetes and high blood sugar in the new generation. In the following cohort, diabetes was not quite apparent in breastfed infants than in non- diabetic women (6.9%) than in those who had diabetes (30.1%). Subsequent studies showed that Pima Indians had high insulin and insulin resistance comparisond to other ethnic groups and that people with diabetes, even those at an early age did not appear antibodies to anti-toxin cells. Thus, in Pimas, almost all patients with diabetes can be classified as NIDDM patients. The later studies concluded the high prevalence of diabetes in the Pimas for other populations and the knowledge of the disease and its originates strongly suggest a significant genetic basis. Future progress in the study of the genetics of NIDDM and other complex diseases will not only come from technical progress being developed, but also from advances in understanding the path physiology of the disease and the role of genetic interactions and the renewed emphasis on accurate clinical characterization of the subjects involved in these studies. Further studies reported increasing Gestational diabetes (GDM) in PIMA women where those without diabetes develop high blood sugar levels during pregnancy. GDM prevalence suggests that diabetes in pregnancy initially described in Pima Indians may also be occurring in the same pattern in other U.S. ethnic groups. Obesity was also seen to be high in Pima Indians population, average body mass index was higher in young people according to BMI estimates and there have been modest increases in BMI averages for age and sex over the last 25 years. These observations indicate that older people were less likely to be exposed to factors leading to obesity than younger adults. People with diabetes rarely have a tendency to lose weight. So obesity should not be studied in these populations without also considering diabetes, which tends to reduce the degree of obesity. Others concluded that diabetes during pregnancy, in addition to what could affect the new baby, had subsequent effects on growth and on glucose metabolism in future generations. These effects are due to genetic traits as well. A study, carried from 1982 through 1992, in 200 healthy, non-diabetic Pima Indians, including 87 women and 113 men, showed that the etiologic factors for NIDDM in Pima Indians are likely to be similar to that of other racial groups but the genes that determine susceptibility to the disease are more common in Pimas.

Methods and Materials

The data were obtained from the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. The donor of the database was Vincent Sigillito (vgs@ aplcen.apl.jhu.edu), Research Center, RMI Group Leader, Applied Physics Laboratory, The Johns Hopkins University, Johns Hopkins Road, Laurel, MD 20707, (301) 953-6231, and 9th May 1990.

The scope of this study was sought from the cross-sectional retrospective study database of the conducted On May 9th 1990 carried by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. The Study Population was all females at least 21 years old of Pima Indian heritage patients of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases.

Sample Size

A total of 768 Patient women were investigated and classifies as 500 not having diabetes while a total of 268 patients were diabetics. The diagnostic was of binary-valued variable investigating whether the patient shows signs of diabetes according to World Health Organization criteria (i.e., if the 2-hour postload plasma glucose was at least 200 mg/dl at any survey examination or if found during routine medical care).

The Main Study Variables

8 predictive variables and a response variable. The variables were age, ever pregnant, number of pregnancies, 2 hour serum insulin (mu U/ml), Body Mass Index (BMI) (weight in kg/(height in m)^2, diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) (BP), plasma glucose concentration a 2-hour glucose tolerance test and triceps skin-fold thickness (mm). A value used to estimate body fat, measured on the right arm halfway between the olecranon process of the elbow and the acromial process of the scapula.¹¹



Fig. 1: Lines Shows Association between Age Groups and Diabetes Incidence in the Pima Indians Married Women



Fig. 2: Bars Comparison Number of Pregnancies in Pima Indians pregnant Women



Fig. 3: Bars Comparisons the Body Mass Index in Pima Indians Women



Fig. 4: Bars Comparison Insulin Levels in Pima Indians Pregnant Women

The PEDIGREE predictor was excluded from the analysis. This data was re-analyzed to identify the causes and risk predictive factors associated with type 2 diabetes in PIMA women using the SPSS program.

Missing Attribute Values

The missing values were replaced by the mean value of the intended variable (after controlling the number of missing values).

Data Analysis and Presentation

Descriptive analysis, odds ratios, and regression analysis were produced with the use of the SPSS computer programme. Graphic presentations of the findings were produced with the use of the Excel Microsoft Office software.

Results

Results showed that a total of 768 women were registered in the database. (268:35%) were having diabetes, while (500:65%) don't. The average number of pregnancies was significantly (P < 0.05) higher (4.9) in diabetic in comparison to (3.3) in non-diabetic women.

Age Group

The age < 25 years constituted 188 (37.6%) of nondiabetic while 31 (11.6%) of diabetic Pima women. As for the older age group (>40 years); it constituted



Fig. 5: Bars Comparisons Diastolic Blood Pressure in Pima Indians Women



Fig. 6: Bars Comparisons Plasma Glucose Concentration in Pima Women

Predictive Instances	Indicators I	Non -diabetic	%	Diabetic	%	Total	%	P value
1. Age group	< 25 years	188	37.6	31	11.6	219	28.5	P< 0.05
	25 < 30 years	124	24.8	53	19.8	177	23.0	
	30<35	50	10	42	15.7	92	12.0	
	35<40	39	7.8	34	12.7	73	9.5	
	>40	99	19.8	108	40.3	207	27.0	
	Total	500	100	268	100.0	768	100.0	
2. Ever Pregnant	Yes	427	85.4	230	85.8	657	85.5	P>0.05
	No	73	14.6	38	14.2	111	14.5	
	Total	500	100	268	100.0	768	100	
3. No.of pregnancies	0-No pregnancy	73	14.6	38	14.2	111	14.5	P<0.05
	1-3 pregnancies	238	47.6	75	28.0	313	40.8	
	4-6 pregnancies	115	23	60	22.4	175	22.8	
	6+ pregnancies	74	14.8	95	35.4	169	22.0	
	Total	500	100	268	14.2	768	100.0	
	(200+ mg/dL)	42	8.4	47	17.5	89	11.6	
4. Insulin Level	(<200 mg/dL).	458	91.6	221	82.5	679	88.4	P<0.05
	Total	500	100	268	100.0	768	100.0	
5. Body Mass Index	Normal	108	21.6	7	2.6	115	15.0	P<0.05
	Overweight	239	47.8	122	45.5	361	47.0	
	Obese	153	30.6	139	51.9	292	38.0	
	Total	500	100	268	100.0	768	100.0	
6. Blood Pressure	Hypotension and low normal (<65)	155	31	46	17.2	201	26.2	P<0.05
	Normal (65-85)	288	57.6	173	64.6	461	60.0	
	Hypertension Stage (85+)	57	11.4	49	18.3	106	13.8	
	Total	500	100	268	100.0	768	100.0	
2. hour plasma glucose concentration	Normal (lower tha 140 mg/dL)	an 438	87.6	131	48.9	569	74.1	P<0.05
	Impaired tolerand (140 and 199 mg	ce 62 /dL)	12.4	137	51.1	19925.9		
	Total	500	100	268	100.0	768	100.0	
8. Triceps skin-	< 20 mm	235	47	103	38.4	338	44.0	P<0.05
fold thickness	20 < 40 mm (Normal range)	217	43.4	123	45.9	340	44.3	
	40+ mm	48	9.6	42	15.7	90	11.7	
	Total	500	100	268	100.0	768	100.0	

Table 1: Significance of Predictive Instances Associated with Diabetes in the Pima Indians Women

99 (19.8%) of the non-diabetics while 108 (40.3%) of diabetic women. Significant inverse relation (P<0.05) was seen between the age distribution and diabetic occurrence. Figure (1) shows that reverse relation where the younger the age group the higher percent of those having diabetics while decreased with the age mounting.

Ever Got Pregnant

Table (1) shows that a total of 427 (85.4%) nondiabetic were got pregnant at least one time while 230 (85.8%) of diabetic. pregnancy shows no significant effect (P>0.05) on diabetes occurrence;

Number of Children

Table (1) shows that 74 (21.6%) of non-diabetic were got pregnant more than 6 times while 95(35.4%) of the diabetics. The number of children is significantly (P<0.05) vary between diabetic and non-diabetic women. Figure (2) illustrates that variation in the number of children in them.

Insulin Level

The average level of insulin was (142.4 mg/dl - SD = 75.5) in non-diabetic while (180.7 mg/dl - SD = 95.7) in diabetic women. Insulin level (200 + mg/dL) is significantly (P<0.05) higher in diabetic 47(17.7%) in comparison to non-diabetic women 42(8.3%). Figure (3) illustrates the difference in insulin levels between diabetic and non-diabetic women.

Body Mass Index

Table (1) shows that the body mass index is significantly (P<0.05) vary between the diabetics

and non-diabetics. The percent of obese diabetics was 139 (51.9%) while 153 (30.6%) in non-diabetic women. Figure (4) illustrates the difference in body mass index (BMI) in the diabetics and non-diabetics.

Diastolic Blood Pressure

Table (1) shows that diastolic blood pressure is significantly (P<0.05) vary between the diabetics and non-diabetic women. A total of 49 (18.3%) diabetic shows diastolic blood pressure (85+) in comparison to non-diabetic women were 57 (11.4%) of non-diabetic. Figure (5) illustrates the difference in diastolic pressure between diabetics and non-diabetics.

Plasma Glucose Concentration

The mean range of the 2-hours fasting was (112.1 mg/dL , SD = 21.4) in the normal level reading women while (175mg/dL, SD = 12.6) in women of out of normal range plasma level. Table (1) shows that the plasma range significantly differs (P< 0.05) between the diabetic and non-diabetic women; plasma normal range was seen in 477 (95.4%) of non-diabetic while in 172 (64.2%) of the diabetic women. Figure (6) illustrates the difference between plasma levels in diabetics and non-diabetics.

Triceps Skin-Fold Thickness

Table (1) shows that the triceps skin-fold thickness is significantly (P<0.05) differ between diabetic and non-diabetic women. The skin thickness 40+ mm was seen in 48 (9.6%) non- diabetic w48 (9.6%) non- diabetic while in 42 (15.7%) of diabetic women. Figure (7)



Fig. 7: Bars Comparison the Triceps Skin-fold Thickness in Pima Women

Table (2) shows that the risk of diabetes is increased in younger age groups. The (OR = 6.616, [95% CI = 4.145, 10.561)] in age group < 25 years shows higher risk to contract diabetes in comparison to the older age groups. Non-pregnancy could act as a protective factor for getting diabetes. (OR = 0.988, [95% CI = 0.854, 1,143)]. It also shows that women get through 1 to 3 pregnancies were at higher risk of

95% Confidence Interval for Exp. (B)

Dependent	Contracting Diabetes								
Independent variables	Indicators	В	Exp (B)	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Risk estimate			
Age group	>40 years	1	1	Reference					
	< 25 years	-1.248-	6.616	4.145	10.561	0.000			
	25 < 30 years	712-	2.552	1.674	3.891	0.000			
	30<35	944-	1.299	0.794	2.125	0.000			
	35<40	-1.156-	1.251	0.733	2.136	0.000			
Ever pregnant	Ever pregnant		.995	.9370	1.057	0.483			
	Never pregnant		1.030	0716	1.480				
No. of pregnancies	0-No pregnancy	1	1	Reference					
	1-3 pregnancies	0.68	1.652	1.032	2.643	0.001			
	4-6 pregnancies	0.457	0.998	0.604	1.647	0.028			
	6+ pregnancies	0.916	0.405	0.247	0.666	0.000			
Insulin level	Insulin level (200+ mg/dL)	1.458	1	Reference					
	Insulin level (<200 mg/dL)	.841	2.319	1.485	3.623	0.000			
Body Mass Index	Overweight	1	1	Reference					
	Normal	-2.858-	7.876	3.557	17.439	0.000			
	Obese	0.13	0.562	0.409	0.771	0.293			
Hypertension	Hypertension	1	1	Reference					
	Hypotension and low normal	-1.325	2.897	1.75	4.795	0.000			
	Normal	-1.261	1.431	0.935	2.191	0.000			
2-hours blood	Impaired glucose tolerance $(140 - 199 mg/dL)$	1	1	Reference					
glucose level	Normal (lower than 140 mg/dL)	045-	7.388	5.165	10.567	0.000			
Triceps skin-	20 < 40 mm (Normal)	1	1	Reference					
fold thickness	< 20 mm	177-	1.293	0.939	1.781	0.184			
	40+ mm	-1.075-	0.648	0.405	1.036	0.000			

Table 2: Risk Estimate - Multiple Logistic Regression Model and Odd Ratio of Diabetes in Pima Women

contracting diabetes (OR=1.652, [95% CI = 1.032, 2.643)] of contracting diabetes. Women with insulin level (<200 mg / dL) showed a significant risk ratio of being diabetic in comparison to women who had insulin level (200+ mg / dL). (OR=2.319, [95% CI = 1.485, 3.623)]. The normal weighted women were at almost 8 times risk of being diabetic in comparison to overweight (OR = 7.876, CI = 3.557, 17.439). Women with hypotension and low normal diastolic blood pressure are of almost at 3 times the risk of being diabetic in comparison to women with hypertension (OR = 2.897, [95% CI = 1.75, 4.795]). Women who showed normal glucose level for the 2-hour glucose test have about 7 times the probability of developing diabetes in comparison to those who showed impaired glucose tolerance. Women of <20mm skin-fold showed significant higher odds (OR = 1.3 [95% CI=0.939, 1.781]) in comparison to those of normal range (20 < 40 mm . The thing that implies this group was at a higher risk of contracting diabetes.

Discussion

Bennett, et al. (1971) concluded that the use of traditional conservative criteria pointed out that 50% prevalence of diabetes in the aged 35 years and above. However, our study showed that younger women <25 years had a 6.6-fold risk of developing diabetes comparisond with older age groups. In parallel with Pettitt, D. J., et al. (1998), a paper on the long-term effects of the intrauterine environment, birth weight, and breastfeeding in Pima Indians revealed that diabetes during pregnancy is a major risk factor for diabetes and high blood sugar In offspring. And that the baby of a woman with diabetes during pregnancy is at risk of obesity and the development of type 2 diabetes at an early age. This could be supported by the result of this study, which showed that women who had a 1 to 3 pregnancy were at 1.7 times likely to develop diabetes in comparison to those not being pregnant. Heredity in relation to diabetes onset was reported by Knowler, et al., they reported the obesity in the Pima Indians: its magnitude and relationship with diabetes, Besides, Pratley, et al. (1998) reported Geneenvironment interactions in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes mellitus they reported that obesity is more common in both males and females in Pima Indians than in the population of the United States1980s in comparison to the 70s, suggesting that family

obesity has an effect on the obesity of subsequent generations and hence may be associated with the onset of diabetes and gestational diabetes. These findings supporting the findings of this study that the normal weight of women was at risk of contracting diabetes approximately 8 times higher than the overweight women. In this case, the genetic factor may be more pronounced than other factors in the occurrence of diabetes. Lillioja, et al. in their study about Insulin resistance and insulin secretion dysfunction Pima Indians Their study resulted in the appearance of diabetes in 38 males and females subjects followed for 5.3 years. They concluded that Insulin resistance is a major risk factor for the development of NIDDM. Low acute insulin response to glucose. That support the findings of this study that even the women who showed normal glucose level for the 2-hour glucose test have about 7 times the risk of developing diabetes in comparison to those who showed impaired glucose tolerance.

Conclusion

This study concluded that Pima women even being in younger age, with normal body weight, having a fewer number of children and with hypotension, low or normal diastolic blood pressure were at higher risk for contracting diabetes in comparison to the older age, overweight, with more number of children and hypertensive women. Hence, hereditary in more likely to contribute to diabetes onset in the Pimas offspring generation.

Recommendation

- 1. Early diagnosis through a relatively inexpensive calculation method.
- Genetic research continuation for disease prevention and lessening its complication
- 3. Accurate control of diabetes during pregnancy, or careful attention to intrauterine growth.
- 4. Use automated learning, a branch of artificial intelligence, to analyze and predict the predictive diabetes model, and to predict the diabetes machine learning algorithm in the Indian Pima population.

Acknowledgement

Here, the study groups are acknowledging the UCI Machine Learning Repository for maintaining data sets as a service to the machine learning community. Availing of data on the web has made it easier to analyze and know more about the prevalence of diabetes in different groups.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- 1. About diabetes". World Health Organization. Archived from the original on 31 March 2014. Retrieved 4 April 2014.
- Gestational diabetes https://www.mayoclinic. org/diseases-conditions/gestational-diabetes /symptoms-causes/syc-20355339
- Bennett, P., Burch, T., & Miller, M. (1971). Diabetes mellitus in American (Pima) Indians. The Lancet, 298(7716), 125-128.
- 4. What Does A1C Stand For https://www.diabe tesselfmanagement.com/blog/what-doesa1c-stand-for/
- 5. The A1C Test & Diabetes. https://www.niddk. nih.gov/healthinformation/diabetes/overview/ tests-diagnosis/a1c-test
- King H & Rewers M for the WHO Ad HOC Diabetes Reporting Committee (1993) Global estimates for the prevalence of diabetes

mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance in adults. Diabetes Care 16, 157-177

- Knowler, W. C., Pettitt, D. J., Saad, M. F., & Bennett, P. H. (1990). Diabetes mellitus in the Pima Indians: incidence, risk factors and pathogenesis. Diabetes/metabolism reviews, 6(1), 1-27.
- 8. Pima Indians Diabetes Database https://raw. githubusercontent.com/jbrownlee/Datasets/ master/pima-indians-diabetes.names
- Knowler WC, Saad MF, Pettitt DJ, Nelson RG, Bennett PH. Determinants of diabetes mellitus in the Pima Indians. Diabetes Care. 1993;16:216-227.
- 10. Pima Indians Diabetes Database-Predict the onset of diabetes based on diagnostic measures https://www.kaggle.com/uciml/ pima-indians-diabetes-database.

The author(s) declare no conflict on interest.

Conflict of Interest