Assessment of Obesity using Anthropometric Markers Among University Students
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Abstract
Over the past few decades there has been an increase in the central or abdominal obesity. Endothelial dysfunction, insulin resistance with metabolic syndrome and a higher cardiometabolic risk are directly linked to abdominal obesity. A better understanding of the epidemiology of obesity would provide insights to its mitigation. This cross sectional study was designed to identify Central obesity, General obesity and Normal Weight central obesity among young adults using the following surrogate markers Waist circumference (WC), Waist hip ratio (WHR), Waist height ratio (WtHR) and BMI. After due informed written consent, 300 young adults with equal representation of both sexes (150 each) studying MBBS, Dentistry and Physiotherapy stream in a private university were selected. Various anthropometric measures like weight, hip circumference and waist circumference and height were measured according to WHO STEPS instrument. BMI based on Asia-Pacific cut-off values was used to define general obesity. Central obesity was defined by a Waist Circumference of ≥ 80 cm in females and ≥ 90 cm in males. For waist to height ratio a value of ≥ 0.5 in both genders was used. For waist to hip ratio the cut off value used was ≥ 0.85 in females and ≥ 0.90 in males. An individual with normal weight according to BMI but having central obesity fits into Normal Weight Central obesity category. Average age of the participants was 20.6 ± 1.31 years. General Obesity was more among males with 46% prevalence as compared to 25.33% among females. In contrast, the prevalence of central obesity was more among females. The prevalence of Normal weight central obesity was more among females, varying from 4% to 17% using different types of anthropometric measures for central obesity. A high positive correlation was observed between BMI with WC.
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WtHR and WHR. (p=0.0001) Current practice of taking only BMI into consideration for defining obesity in our country needs serious re-evaluation considering the increasing prevalence of abdominal obesity and its long term impact.

Introduction

Obesity is a chronic metabolic disorder with accumulation of adipose tissue and is strongly associated with cardiovascular disease, metabolic syndrome and mortality.\(^1\) The prevalence of obesity worldwide has tripled in the last four decades. Obesity among young adults is increasing steadily all over the world. The period between 18 to 25 years of age being the transition phase from adolescence to adulthood is called the young adult phase. Among young adults, obesity ranges from 2.3 to 12\% in developing countries and 22 to 35\% in developed countries.\(^2\) In a study from South India, age adjusted BMI, WC and WtHR were significantly higher among urban youth when compared to their rural counterparts.\(^3\) The various factors contributing to obesity among the young include genetics, socio economic status, disrupted eating patterns, reduced sleep duration, reduced physical activity and increased stress.\(^4,5\) Our study participants are also part of this urban society.

A study among Canadian population over a period of 30 years by Ian Janssen et al.\(^6\) observed that for a given BMI of 25kg/m\(^2\), waist circumference increased by 4.9 cm in women and 1.1 cm in men. Longitudinal studies in USA, China, England and Mexico have shown a statistically significant increase in waist circumference values when compared to Body Mass Index (BMI).\(^7\) The phenotype of obesity has been changing in the past few decades showing an increase in the central obesity (CO) or abdominal obesity (AO) Endothelial dysfunction, insulin resistance with metabolic syndrome and a higher cardiometabolic risk are directly linked to abdominal obesity.\(^8\)

Body composition is different among various ethnic groups and population worldwide. South Asian population are known to have reduced muscle mass with a high body fat within normal BMI. Low muscle mass is associated with an unfavorable metabolic profile. However an increased gluteo femoral adipose tissue has improved metabolic and cardiovascular risk profile.\(^9,10\) A person with normal BMI but higher central obesity tends to have a decreased subcutaneous fat on hips and legs. These distinct anthropometric features are observed even in Asian children who have an increased tendency for abdominal obesity and have higher body fat percentage even at lower levels of body weight.\(^11\) All these factors make the South Asian population more vulnerable.

BMI is used globally for stratification of obesity as underweight, normal, overweight and obese. BMI as an independent cardiometabolic risk has gained some ambiguity, as it gives no information on fat distribution nor the ratio of fat to lean mass.\(^12\) Obesity measured only by BMI must have reached a plateau in some countries, but the abdominal obesity is on the rise steadily.\(^13\) Three frequently used surrogate markers for central obesity are Waist -hip ratio (WHR) Waist to height ratio (WtHR) and Waist circumference (WC). These markers are known to carry an increased risk of cardiovascular disease, mortality and type 2 diabetes mellitus independently and along with BMI.\(^14\) Normal weight central obesity (NWCO) is another distinct phenotype of obesity receiving importance in the recent times. An individual with normal weight according to BMI but having central obesity is categorized as Normal Weight Central obesity.\(^15\) Recent studies have elucidated a strong association between cardiovascular ailments, metabolic syndrome, mortality and NWCO.\(^16\) Waist Circumference, Waist Hip ratio and Waist to height ratio along with BMI have each independently shown association with cardiovascular disease risk factors.\(^17,18\)

Obesity along with other risk factors like hyperlipidemia, reduced physical activity and hypertension play an important role in increasing cardiovascular events.\(^19,20,21\) In spite of increased prevalence and knowledge about central obesity and NWCO as a risk factor for health, their occurrence in general population is less evaluated. Studies among young population are important in
understanding the epidemiology of obesity and this will help in early intervention. We found no study documenting the burden of normal weight central obesity among urban young adult population in our country, hence we decided to a) find the distribution of general obesity by using BMI b) to define central obesity using WtHR, WC, WHR b) use all the three different definitions of NWCO (normal BMI with elevated WtHR, normal BMI with elevated WHR and normal BMI with elevated WC) to find out the burden of normal weight central obesity

Methodology
This cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted for a period of six months in the department of physiology, Rural Medical College, Loni. Institutional Ethical clearance was obtained before the start of the study. (RMC/UG-PG /2019/04)

Study Population
Young adults in age group 18-26 years were part of the study group. After due informed written consent, 300 young adults with equal representation of both sexes (150 each) studying MBBS, Dentistry and Physiotherapy stream in a private university were selected.

Exclusion Criteria
Subjects who were diagnosed with any of the following ailments like hypertension, diabetes, cardiac diseases, bronchial asthma, allergic disorders were excluded. Subjects known to be indulging in any form of substance abuse and taking medication for any psychiatric illness were also excluded from the study.

Anthropometric Measurements
Standing height was measured using a wall mounted stadiometer with bare feet and recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm. Weight was recorded using a digital weighing machine with the subject barefoot and wearing light clothes measured to the nearest 0.1 kg. Waist circumference (WC) was measured in standing posture using a stretch resistant tape at the midpoint between the lower margin of last palpable rib and top of the iliac crest at the end of normal expiration. Hip circumference was measured at the level of the maximum girth of the hip to the nearest 0.1cm.

Operational Definitions
General Obesity
Asia-Pacific grading of obesity is based on the consensus that the skeletal and muscle mass of individuals in these regions are lower than in the West. So, BMI was based on Asia-Pacific cut-off values to define general obesity. BMI was calculated as body weight in kilograms divided by body height in meter square. Subjects were divided into normal category (18.0-22.9 kg/m$^2$), overweight (23.0-24.9 kg/m$^2$), obese (>25 kg/m$^2$).

Central Obesity
The following parameters were used to define central obesity:
- Waist circumference (WC) The cut-off for WC was $\geq 90$ cm in case of males and $\geq 80$ cm in case of females to define abdominal obesity.
- Waist to height ratio (WtHR) This was calculated as WC(cm) divided by height (cm). The cut off for waist to height ratio to define abdominal obesity was $\geq 0.5$ in both genders.
- Waist to hip ratio (WHR) This was calculated as WC (cm) divided by hip circumference (cm). The cut off for waist to hip ratio to define abdominal obesity was $\geq 0.90$ in males and $\geq 0.85$ in females.

Normal Weight Central Obesity
Normal Weight Central Obesity was defined as central obesity (by using the above mentioned cut off for WC, WtHR, WHR) among individuals with normal weight (18.0-22.9 kg/m$^2$ using BMI).

Combined Obesity
An individual who has General obesity defined by BMI along with central obesity by using the appropriate cut off for WC, WtHR, WHR fitted into this category.

Statistical Analysis
Descriptive statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (version 20 for Windows.) Mann Whitney U test was used for analyzing parametric variables. The association between two categorical variable was examined through Chi-Square test. Binary logistic regression was applied to predict the likelihood of an individual becoming obese.
Pearson’s correlation coefficient test was used to determine the relationship between BMI, WC, WHR, and WtHR. P value <0.05 was considered as level of significance.

**Results**

Fig 1 shows the schematic Overview of the study design expressed in numbers.

---

**General Obesity**

Fig 2 shows the overall prevalence of general obesity as defined by Asia Pacific guidelines for obesity based on BMI. More males were found to be obese than females in this category (46% vs 25.33%).

---

**Central Obesity:** (Fig 3)

Using WHO recommended cut-off for WC and WHR, prevalence of CO was more among females when compared to male respondents (47.33% vs 35.33% and 48% vs 37.33%) respectively.

Using WtHR cut of ≥ 0.5 in defining CO, the prevalence was more among males. (47.33% vs 45.66%).

**Normal Weight Central Obesity:** (Fig 4)

Prevalence of NWCO varied from 4% to 16.6% using different types of measures for central obesity. The distribution of NWCO was more among females when compared to males (10.66% vs 4%, 11.33% vs 6%, 17% vs 7.33% using WC, WtHR, WHR respectively).
Table 1 gives us Mean and SD of various anthropometric measures. A total of 300 students comprising of equal number from both sexes participated in the study. Average age of the participants was 20.6 $\pm$ 1.31. Mean body weight among males was 75.4 kg while in females it was 58.67 kg.

**Fig. 3: Distribution of central obesity by WC, WtHR, WHR among the respondents**

WC-Waist circumference (males $\geq$ 90 and $\geq$ 80 cm in females) WtHR -Waist to height ratio ($\geq$ 0.5 in both genders) WHR-Waist hip ratio ($\geq$ 0.90 in males and $\geq$ 0.85 in females) NWCO - Normal Weight Central Obesity (BMI in the range of 18.0 -22.9 kg/m²).

**Fig. 4: Distribution of Normal weight central obesity by WC, WtHR, WHR among the respondents**
Table 1: Anthropometric characteristics of male and female subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Male (n=150)</th>
<th>Female (n=150)</th>
<th>Pvalue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>20.9 ± 1.26</td>
<td>20.3 ± 1.29</td>
<td>P&lt;0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight</td>
<td>75.4 ± 12.05</td>
<td>58.67 ± 11.34</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.06</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>24.47 ± 3.34</td>
<td>22.68 ± 3.84</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC</td>
<td>86.6 ± 9.77</td>
<td>80.44 ± 9.82</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>95.37 ± 9.26</td>
<td>98.28 ± 9.77</td>
<td>P&lt;0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WtHR</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.06</td>
<td>P=0.687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.05</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI - Body Mass Index  WC - Waist circumference  HC - Hip circumference  WtHR - Waist to height ratio  WHR - Waist hip ratio.

**Combined Obesity**

Table 2 shows the association between BMI and central obesity variables WC, WtHR, WHR among the genders. The prevalence of combined obesity was more among male respondents. (27.33% vs 22% using WC; 34% vs 21.33% using WtHR; 18% vs 17.33% using WHR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>BMI&lt;25 n(%) NO</th>
<th>BMI≥25 n(%) GO</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WC</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>&lt; 80 cm</td>
<td>72(48%)</td>
<td>05(3.33%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥80 cm</td>
<td>40(26.66%)</td>
<td>33(22%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>&lt; 90 cm</td>
<td>69(46%)</td>
<td>28(18.66%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥90 cm</td>
<td>12(8%)</td>
<td>41(27.33%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total WtHR</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>&lt; 0.50</td>
<td>75(50%)</td>
<td>6(4%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥0.50</td>
<td>37(24.66%)</td>
<td>32(21.33%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>&lt; 0.50</td>
<td>56(37.33%)</td>
<td>18(12%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥0.50</td>
<td>25(16.66%)</td>
<td>51(34%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>&lt;0.85</td>
<td>60(40%)</td>
<td>12(8%)</td>
<td>=0.019*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥0.85</td>
<td>52(34.66%)</td>
<td>26(17.33%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>&lt;0.90</td>
<td>59(39.33%)</td>
<td>42(28%)</td>
<td>=0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥0.90</td>
<td>22(14.60%)</td>
<td>27(18%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 shows the correlation between BMI with WC (r=0.71), WtHR (r=0.66), WHR (r=0.34) which were statistically significant.

Table 4 depicts the results from the logistic regression used to predict the odds that a subject of a given waist circumference, waist height ratio and gender will be obese. The adjusted result reveals that one unit increase on the waist circumference was associated with 15% increase in obesity and the result was statistically significant. Males were 58% more likely to be obese (general obesity)
than female. However, the result was statistically insignificant. When it comes to central obesity, females were 18.8 times more likely to be centrally obese than males.

Table 3: Pearson correlation coefficient between BMI with WC, WtHR and WHR across gender among the respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>WC</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>WHtr</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>WHR</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p</td>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p</td>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total(300)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male(150)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female(150)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>=0.001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WC-Waist circumference WtHR -Waist to height ratio WHR-Waist hip ratio BMI -Body Mass Index *P value significant<0.001

Table 4: Odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals from logistic regression models to predict the odds that a subject of a given waist circumference, waist height ratio and gender will be Obese using BMI as dependent variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Unadjusted odds ratio</th>
<th>Adjusted odds ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference</td>
<td>1.18***</td>
<td>1.15***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.13 - 1.22)</td>
<td>(1.09 - 1.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist height ratio</td>
<td>7.31***</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4.23 - 12.61)</td>
<td>(0.73 - 3.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Reference</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2.51***</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.54 - 4.09)</td>
<td>(0.76 - 2.70)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** p<0.001, ** p<0.01, * p<0.05; 95% confidence interval in parentheses

General Obesity
Fig 1 shows the overall prevalence of general obesity as defined by Asia Pacific guidelines for obesity based on BMI. More males were found to be obese than females in this category (46% vs 25.33%).

Central obesity:(Fig3)
Using WHO recommended cut-off for WC and WHR, prevalence of CO was more among females when compared to male respondents (47.33% vs 35.33% and 48% vs 37.33%) respectively.

Using WtHR cut of ≥ 0.5 in defining CO, the prevalence was more among males. (47.33% vs 45.66%).

Normal Weight Central Obesity: (Fig 4)
Prevalence of NWCO varied from 4% to 16.6% using different types of measures for central obesity. The distribution of NWCO was more among females when compared to males (10.66% vs 4%, 11.33% vs 6%, 17% vs 7.33% using WC, WtHR, WHR respectively).
Discussion
In this cross-sectional observation study, we tried to find the distribution of general obesity, central obesity and normal weight central obesity using three different surrogate markers (WC, WtHR, WHR) among young university students. Among the 300 participants, the prevalence of general obesity using BMI was found more among males (46%). In contrast, the prevalence of central obesity (44% to 48%) was more among females. More females fitted into the category of NWCO, which varied from 4% to 17% using different types of anthropometric measures for central obesity. A high positive correlation was observed between BMI and WC, WtHR and WHR, respectively. (p=0.0001)

WHO has identified obesity as a major cause of disability and premature deaths in developing countries and this has been attributed to dietary shifts and lifestyle changes. Our study reported a prevalence of 37% in general obesity category using BMI and males had a higher prevalence. An increased prevalence of metabolic syndrome in South Asians is mostly attributed to central obesity. In the present study the prevalence of central obesity was 41.33%, 45.66% and 42.66% on using WC, WtHR and WHR as anthropometric markers. The percentage of central obesity (using WC) among urban young adult population in Chandigarh, Tamil Nadu, Jharkhand and Maharashtra were 26.6%, 19.3%, 9.8% and 13% respectively. Results from our study show an increased prevalence of central obesity among female subjects when compared to males (46.44% vs 39.99%). This association between gender and abdominal adiposity is in accordance with another study by Elelyn Thomas et al where the CO measured by WC in females was 44.3% when compared to 24.6% in males. Kokila and Sivaprakasam also had similar results in their study among university students. But contrary to our findings, in a study on the effect of central obesity on lipid profile among young adults, Shaikat et al observed that WC was more among males then in females.

Sex hormones strongly influence body fat distribution and adipocyte differentiation. Males have greater total lean mass, bone mineral mass and lower fat mass, while females have more peripheral distribution of fat. The possible explanation for the high prevalence of CO among females apart from childhood socio-economic status and environmental causes are also the social construction since culture and behavioral attitudes also play an important role in fat distribution for e.g. less physical activity observed among Indian females.

Prevalence of NWCO varied from 4 to 16.6% using different types of measures for central obesity in our study. Recent research showed that men and women who were in NWCO category had 1.87 and 1.48 times higher mortality risk compared to individual having the same BMI but no central obesity. A meta-analysis review on anthropometric parameters and mortality, showed that the highest mortality risks were shown for the combination of low or normal BMI with large WC or WHR. A study in Brazil which comprised of 1222 young adults (23 to 25 years) showed that 111 of them had normal weight obesity and had an increased risk of metabolic syndrome (OR 6.83 95% CI 2.84-16.83). A recent literature states that 23% of Saudi Arabian and 16.7% of Egyptian young adults were already at a high risk of having a cardiovascular disease within ten years. Based on many cohorts, cardiovascular risk factors present since childhood/adolescence have a relation to atherosclerotic lesions in the study of necropsies seen among young individuals. Incorporating these simple anthropometric measures in routine clinical practice will help in mitigating the metabolic changes seen so early in life course.

There is consistent evidence of age, gender, race and ethnic variations in waist circumference, hip circumference, waist to height and waist to hip ratio. To define obesity by anthropometric indices is on the assumption that these measures correlate with more direct measures of adiposity like by MRI, CT etc. We decided to evaluate and compare central obesity using all three indices, namely, WC, WtHR and WHR. Premnath et al had observed in their study that WC correlated significantly with visceral fat and it can be used as a surrogate marker for visceral obesity. In our study, WC demonstrated strong and positive correlation (r=0.71) with BMI compared to WtHR (r=0.66) and WHR (r=0.34). Results from the logistic regression showed that one unit increase on the waist circumference was associated with 15% increase in obesity and was statistically significant. In spite of males having a higher mean WC (86.6cm), the proportion of females who were centrally obese were more and statistically
significant. Nevertheless, for a given increase in waist circumference, males tend to have more visceral adipose tissue than females thereby carrying a higher metabolic risk.\textsuperscript{4} WtHR is again a simple and effective anthropometric index with a universal cut off 0.5 irrespective of race, ethnicity, gender and age. Taking height into consideration in this marker, the prediction of the disease risk is increased, as short stature itself is associated with increased risk of CVD.\textsuperscript{36} In growing children, adolescence, WHR might be useful but in an adult with apparently no change in height, this ratio depends more on the changes in WC. Waist hip ratio (WHR) considers waist circumference relative to hip circumference. In our study mean hip circumference was more in females (98.28 vs 95.37) and is in accordance with the more peripheral distribution of fat in women. The Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging, in their study on the effect of weight change and its distribution noted that in men waist changes were larger than hip changes while in women the changes were the same.\textsuperscript{37} The demerit of using WHR is that, being a ratio, its utility is limited when both the numerator and denominator can change with treatment.

Understanding the dimorphism between sexes of fat distribution among various ethnic groups and its associated risk factors is significant in the context of the obesity epidemic. Our findings reveal that normal weight and central obesity can co-exist among young adults. Though being a significant risk factor later for developing cardiovascular ailments and metabolic syndrome, obesity in childhood, adolescents and young adult life is not given due relevance. Utilising these simple surrogate markers (WC, WtHR, WHR) along with BMI at the primary health center or in an early adolescence clinic will help in identifying obesity and its complication at an earlier stage especially among the vulnerable South Asian population.

We have tried to incorporate all the three important anthropometric indices in defining central obesity and NWCO pattern among the respondents, though the gold standard would have been the use of CT scan to define the distribution of fat. This was an important limitation in our study. A detailed history regarding dietary pattern, physical activity, sleep pattern, stress factors along with metabolic parameters in a larger sample size with a comprehensive work up could be the next step in this area of research.

Conclusion
A combination of BMI and an abdominal anthropometric measurement are required to find the body fat distribution for research and clinical practice. Current practice of taking only BMI into consideration for defining obesity in our country needs serious re-evaluation considering the increasing prevalence of abdominal obesity and its long term impact.
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